



DAW

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q77851

Hiroo TAKIZAWA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/678,301

Group Art Unit: 1756

Confirmation No.: 4148

Examiner: Martin J. ANGEBRANNNDT

Filed: October 6, 2003

For: NON-RESONANT TWO-PHOTON ABSORBING MATERIAL, NON-RESONANT TWO-PHOTON EMITTING MATERIAL, AND METHOD FOR INDUCING ABSORPTION OR GENERATING EMISSION OF NON-RESONANT TWO PHOTONS BY USING THE MATERIAL

**SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the telephone interview conducted on April 11, 2006. This Summary is intended to replace the Summary filed October 13, 2006, which did not accurately convey the view of the attorney identified below who conducted the interview.

**REMARKS**

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was attached to the Non-Final Office Action mailed April 13, 2006. Applicant respectfully disagrees with some of the assertions made in the Interview Summary Record.

The attorney named below and identified in the Examiner's Interview Summary notes that in the Interview Summary, the Examiner apparently misunderstood a number of the comments made by Applicant's representative. For example, the Interview Summary states that "applicant's representative asserted that the article claims were to the excited state species."

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW  
U.S. Application No. 10/678,301  
Attorney Docket No. Q77851

However, during the interview, Applicant's representative stated that he had not discussed this with Applicant, and that though it was perhaps the meaning of the claims, Applicant's representative would need to confirm the same with Applicant before positively asserting it to be the case.

Similarly, Applicant's representative did not assert that "the references were at least as good as those applied." Rather, Applicant's representative stated that upon an initial review, some of the newly applied references appeared to perhaps be more pertinent than some of the references the Office Action had applied. Applicant's representative again pointed out to the Examiner that Applicant's representative had not discussed the matter with Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

Sheldon I. Landsman / by Joseph F. Duffy  
Sheldon I. Landsman  
Registration No. 25,430  
Reg. No. 26,572

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE  
23373  
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: November 9, 2006